arXiv:1406.4022v3 [math.CO] 26 Jun 2015

SOME q-CONGRUENCES FOR HOMOGENEOUS AND QUASI-HOMOGENEOUS MULTIPLE q-HARMONIC SUMS

KH. HESSAMI PILEHROOD, T. HESSAMI PILEHROOD, AND R. TAURASO

Abstract. We show some new Wolstenholme type q-congruences for some classes of multiple q-harmonic sums of arbitrary depth with strings of indices composed of ones, twos and threes. Most of these results are q-extensions of the corresponding congruences for ordinary multiple harmonic sums obtained by the authors in a previous paper. Finally, we pose a conjecture concerning two kinds of cyclic sums of multiple q-harmonic sums.

1. Introduction

For two l-tuples of non-negative integers $\mathbf{s} := (s_1, \dots, s_l)$ and $\mathbf{t} := (t_1, \dots, t_l)$ and a non-negative integer n, we define two classes of multiple q-harmonic sums

$$H_n^q(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{t}) = H_n^q(s_1,\dots,s_l;t_1,\dots,t_l) = \sum_{1\le k_1<\cdots<k_l\le n} \frac{q^{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_lt_l}}{[k_1]_q^{s_1}\cdots[k_l]_q^{s_l}},$$

$$S_n^q(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{t}) = S_n^q(s_1,\dots,s_l;t_1,\dots,t_l) = \sum_{1\le k_1\le\cdots\le k_l\le n} \frac{q^{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_lt_l}}{[k_1]_q^{s_1}\cdots[k_l]_q^{s_l}},$$

where

$$[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} = 1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}$$

is a q-analog of the non-negative integer n, and put

$$H_n^q(\mathbf{s}) := H_n^q(s_1,\dots,s_l;s_1-1,\dots,s_l-1),\ \ S_n^q(\mathbf{s}) := S_n^q(s_1,\dots,s_l;s_1-1,\dots,s_l-1).$$

The number $l(\mathbf{s}) := l$ is called the depth (or length) and $w(\mathbf{s}) := \sum_{j=1}^l s_j$ is the weight of the multiple harmonic sum. By convention, we put $H_n^q(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{t}) = S_n^q(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{t}) = 0$ if $n < l$, and $H_n^q(\emptyset) = S_n^q(\emptyset) = 1$.

Congruences for ordinary single and multiple harmonic sums have been studied since the nineteenth century (see [11, 16, 18, 21, 30, 33] and references therein). It is well known that many multiple harmonic sums modulo a prime p (or a power of p) can be expressed in terms of Bernoulli numbers. The situation with q-analogs is much less known. The first

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B65, 30E05, 11A07.

Key words and phrases. Multiple q-harmonic sum, q-binomial identity, degenerate Bernoulli numbers, q-congruence, duality relations.

q-congruences for q-analogs of harmonic numbers, namely the q-analogs of Wolstenholme's theorem, were obtained by Andrews [2] who proved that for all primes $p \geq 3$,

$$H_{p-1}^q(1;0) = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{[j]_q} \equiv \frac{p-1}{2}(1-q) \pmod{[p]_q},$$

as well as

$$H_{p-1}^q(1;1) = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{q^j}{[j]_q} \equiv -\frac{p-1}{2}(1-q) \pmod{[p]_q}. \tag{1}$$

Then Shi and Pan [26] proved that for primes $p \geq 5$,

$$H_{p-1}^q(2;0) \equiv -\frac{(p-1)(p-5)}{12}(1-q)^2 \pmod{[p]_q},$$

$$H_{p-1}^q(2;1) \equiv -\frac{p^2-1}{12}(1-q)^2 \pmod{[p]_q}.$$

Here the above congruences are considered over the ring of polynomials with integer coefficients in variable q. It is clear that $[p]_q$, as the pth cyclotomic polynomial, is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ and therefore, the denominators of the rational functions above are coprime to $[p]_q$.

Dilcher [7] was the first who noticed that the higher order q-harmonic numbers can be expressed modulo $[p]_q$ in terms of the degenerate Bernoulli numbers, namely in terms of the sequence $K_n(p)$ defined by the generating function

$$\frac{p(z-1)}{z^p-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} K_n(p)(z-1)^n \qquad \text{for } |z-1|<1. \tag{2}$$

He proved (see [7] and also [34]) that for all positive integers n and primes $p \geq 3$,

$$H_{p-1}^q(n;1) \equiv K_n(p)(1-q)^n \pmod{[p]_q} \tag{3}$$

and

$$H_{p-1}^q(n;0) \equiv (1-q)^n \Big(\frac{p-1}{2} + \sum_{m=2}^{n} K_m(p)\Big) \pmod{[p]_q}. \tag{4}$$

The congruences above easily imply (see [34, Theorem 1.2] and [27, Theorem 2.1] for a similar result) that for all primes $p > 3$ and integers $n \geq t \geq 1$,

$$H_{p-1}^q(n;t) \equiv (1-q)^n \sum_{m=0}^{t-1} \binom{t-1}{m} (-1)^m K_{n-m}(p) \pmod{[p]_q}. \tag{5}$$

These results were further extended by Zhao [34] who studied generating functions of multiple q-harmonic sums with repeated arguments $H_{p-1}^q(\{s\}^t;\{0\}^t)$, where $\{s\}^t$ denotes $t \geq 0$ consecutive copies of the letter s. In particular, he showed [34, Corollaries 2.2, 2.3] that

$$H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^t) = H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^t;\{0\}^t) \equiv \binom{p-1}{t} \frac{(1-q)^t}{t+1} \pmod{[p]_q} \tag{6}$$

and

$$H_{p-1}^{q}(\{2\}^{t};\{0\}^{t}) \equiv (-1)^{t}\frac{2\cdot t!}{(2t+2)!}\binom{p-1}{t}\cdot F_{2,t}(p)\cdot(1-q)^{2t} \pmod{[p]_q}, \tag{7}$$

where $F_{2,t}(p)$ is a monic polynomial in p of degree t and $p>t$.

In this paper, we prove some new q-congruences modulo $[p]_q$ for further classes of multiple q-harmonic sums of arbitrary depth with strings of indices composed of ones, twos and threes. Some of these results are q-extensions of the corresponding congruences for ordinary multiple harmonic sums obtained by the authors in [16].

We will refer to multiple q-harmonic sums on strings $(s,s,\ldots,s)$ as *homogeneous* sums. If the vector $(s,s,\ldots,s)$ is modified by insertion of an element u, we say that $H_n^q(\{s\}^a,u,\{s\}^b)$ (correspondingly, $S_n^q(\{s\}^a,u,\{s\}^b)$) is a *quasi-homogeneous* multiple q-harmonic (non-strict) sum. We may summarize our results as follows.

Homogeneous sums: for any integer $t\geq 1$ and any prime $p>t$ we have modulo $[p]_q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{p-1}^{q}(\{1\}^{t}) &\equiv -K_t(p)(1-q)^t,\\
H_{p-1}^{q}(\{2\}^{t}) &\equiv (-1)^{t}\binom{p+t}{2t+1}\frac{(1-q)^{2t}}{p(t+1)},\\
S_{p-1}^{q}(\{2\}^{t}) &\equiv (1-q)^{2t}\sum_{i=0}^{t}\left(t\binom{t-1}{i}+(t-1)\binom{t}{i}\right)(-1)^{i}K_{2t-i}(p),\\
H_{p-1}^{q}(\{3\}^{t}) &\equiv \frac{(1-q)^{3t}}{(t+1)p^2}\left(\binom{p+2t+1}{3t+2}+\binom{-p+2t+1}{3t+2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Quasi-homogeneous sums: for any non-negative integers a,b and any prime $p>2t+1$ with $t=a+b+1$, we have modulo $[p]_q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{p-1}^{q}(\{1\}^{a},2,\{1\}^{b})+H_{p-1}^{q}(\{1\}^{b},2,\{1\}^{a}) &\equiv -\binom{p+1}{t+2}\frac{(1-q)^{t+1}}{p},\\
S_{p-1}^{q}(\{1\}^{a},2,\{1\}^{b})+S_{p-1}^{q}(\{1\}^{b},2,\{1\}^{a}) &\equiv (1-q)^{t+1}(K_t(p)-K_{t+1}(p)-K_{a+1}(p)K_{b+1}(p)),\\
H_{p-1}^{q}(\{2\}^{a},3,\{2\}^{b})+H_{p-1}^{q}(\{2\}^{b},3,\{2\}^{a}) &\equiv (-1)^{t-1}\binom{p+t}{2t+1}\frac{(1-q)^{2t+1}}{p(t+1)},\\
S_{p-1}^{q}(\{2\}^{a},3,\{2\}^{b})+S_{p-1}^{q}(\{2\}^{b},3,\{2\}^{a})&\\
\equiv (1-q)^{2t+1}\sum_{i=0}^{t}\left(t\binom{t-1}{i}+(t-1)\binom{t}{i}\right)(-1)^{i+1}K_{2t-i}(p).&
\end{aligned}$$

Our derivations for homogeneous strict sums are based on application of generating functions and properties of cyclotomic and Chebyshev polynomials, while the congruences for non-strict sums follow from some q-identities proved in [15, 17].

We prove q-duality relations for multiple q-harmonic non-strict sums by employing q-binomial duality relations found by Bradley [3]. We also establish a kind of duality for multiple q-harmonic strict sums by proving some new q-identities which are generalizations of the divisor generating functions identities due to Dilcher [6] and Prodinger [23].

Finally, we put forward the following conjecture concerning cyclic sums of some multiple q-harmonic sums.

Conjecture 1.1 (Cyclic-sum)**.** *Let $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_t$ be non-negative integers. Then*

i) *For any prime $p > r+1$, where $r = \sum_{i=0}^{t} d_i + 2t$,*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{t} H_{p-1}^{q}\left(\{1\}^{d_i}, 2, \{1\}^{d_{i+1}}, 2, \dots, 2, \{1\}^{d_{i+t}}\right) \equiv (-1)^t \binom{p+t}{r+1} \frac{(1-q)^r}{p} \pmod{[p]_q}, \quad (8)$$

ii) *For any prime $p > r+1$, where $r = \sum_{i=0}^{t} 2d_i + 3t$,*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{t} H_{p-1}^{q}\left(\{2\}^{d_i}, 3, \{2\}^{d_{i+1}}, 3, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{d_{i+t}}\right) \equiv N(p,r,t)\frac{(1-q)^r}{p} \pmod{[p]_q}, \quad (9)$$

where $N(p,r,t)$ does not depend on q.

In both congruences it is understood that $d_i = d_j$ if $i \equiv j$ modulo $t+1$.

Note that the case $t = 1$ is exactly Theorem 8.3 and Theorem 6.1 below. The case of arbitrary t when all d_j are zero follows from Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1.

2. Preliminary results about $K_n(p)$

We start with recalling several known results on the sequence $K_n(p)$ defined by (2). The first few values of $K_n(p)$ are as follows:

$$K_0(p) = -1,\ K_1(p) = -\frac{p-1}{2},\ K_2(p) = -\frac{p^2-1}{12},\ K_3(p) = -\frac{p^2-1}{24},$$
$$K_4(p) = \frac{(p^2-1)(p^2-19)}{720},\quad K_5(p) = \frac{(p^2-1)(p^2-9)}{480},\ \dots.$$

The properties of this sequence were studied in detail by Dilcher in [7] (just with another notation $\widetilde{D}_n(p) = -p^n K_n(p)$). In particular, it was shown that for $n \geq 2$, $K_n(p)$ is a polynomial in p^2 of degree at most $[n/2]$ which is divisible by p^2-1. The sequence $K_n(p)$ is very closely related to the degenerate Bernoulli numbers (in fact, polynomials) that were first studied by Carlitz [4], and can be defined from the expansion

$$\frac{x}{(1+\lambda x)^{1/\lambda} - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(\lambda)\frac{x^n}{n!}. \quad (10)$$

By comparing generating functions (2) and (10) we have the relation (see [7, Theorem 3])

$$K_n(p) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n!} p^n \beta_n\left(\frac{1}{p}\right). \quad (11)$$

Howard [19] found explicit formulas for the coefficients of $\beta_n(\lambda)$ which together with (11) imply that for $n \geq 2$,

$$K_n(p) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\left(\frac{b_n}{n} + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{B_{2j}}{2j}\, s(n-1,2j-1)p^{2j}\right),$$

where b_n is the Bernoulli number of the second kind, B_{2j} is the ordinary Bernoulli number, and $s(n,j)$ is the Stirling number of the first kind, which are defined by the corresponding generating functions:

$$\frac{x}{\ln(1+x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n x^n}{n!}, \qquad \frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n x^n}{n!}, \qquad \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(x-j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} s(n,j)x^j.$$

From the other side, the ordinary Bernoulli numbers B_n are the limit case of the degenerate Bernoulli numbers:

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \beta_n(\lambda) = B_n.$$

3. Preliminary results about q-MHS

In this section, we describe some basic relations between multiple q-harmonic sums modulo $[p]_q$, which will be useful in the sequel. These relations arise from inverting the order of summation of a nested sum and from expanding the product of two multiple q-harmonic sums.

Let $\overline{\mathbf{s}} = (s_l, s_{l-1}, \ldots, s_1)$ denote the reversal of $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_{l-1}, s_l)$. Then we have the following relations.

Theorem 3.1. *Let p be a prime and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_l)$, $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_l)$ be two l-tuples of non-negative integers. Then*

$$H_{p-1}^q(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{t}) \equiv (-1)^{w(\mathbf{s})} H_{p-1}^q(\overline{\mathbf{s}};\overline{\mathbf{s}}-\overline{\mathbf{t}}) \pmod{[p]_q},$$
$$S_{p-1}^q(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{t}) \equiv (-1)^{w(\mathbf{s})} S_{p-1}^q(\overline{\mathbf{s}};\overline{\mathbf{s}}-\overline{\mathbf{t}}) \pmod{[p]_q}.$$

In particular,

$$H_{p-1}^q(\mathbf{s}) \equiv (-1)^{w(\mathbf{s})} H_{p-1}^q(\overline{\mathbf{s}};\{1\}^l) \pmod{[p]_q}, \tag{12}$$
$$S_{p-1}^q(\mathbf{s}) \equiv (-1)^{w(\mathbf{s})} S_{p-1}^q(\overline{\mathbf{s}};\{1\}^l) \pmod{[p]_q}. \tag{13}$$

Proof. Reversing the order of summation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_{p-1}^q(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{t}) &= \sum_{1\le k_1<\cdots<k_l<p} \frac{q^{t_1k_1+\cdots+t_lk_l}}{[k_1]_q^{s_1}\cdots[k_l]_q^{s_l}} = \sum_{p>k_1>\cdots>k_l\ge 1} \frac{q^{t_1(p-k_1)+\cdots+t_l(p-k_l)}}{[p-k_1]_q^{s_1}\cdots[p-k_l]_q^{s_l}} \\ &= (1-q)^{w(\mathbf{s})} \sum_{1\le k_l<\cdots<k_1<p} \frac{q^{t_1(p-k_1)+\cdots+t_l(p-k_l)+k_1s_1+\cdots+k_ls_l}}{(q^{k_1}-q^p)^{s_1}\cdots(q^{k_l}-q^p)^{s_l}} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{w(\mathbf{s})}(1-q)^{w(\mathbf{s})} \sum_{1\le k_l<\cdots<k_1<p} \frac{q^{k_1(s_1-t_1)+\cdots+k_l(s_l-t_l)}}{(1-q^{k_1})^{s_1}\cdots(1-q^{k_l})^{s_l}} \\ &= (-1)^{w(\mathbf{s})} H_{p-1}^q(\overline{\mathbf{s}};\overline{\mathbf{s}}-\overline{\mathbf{t}}) \pmod{[p]_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\mathbf{t} = (s_1-1,\dots,s_l-1)$, we get (12). The proofs for the non-strict sums are similar. □

For depth one q-harmonic sums $H_{p-1}^q(s)$, we have the following.

Corollary 3.1. *If $p \ge 3$ is a prime, then for all positive integers s, we have*

$$H_{p-1}^q(s) \equiv (-1)^s K_s(p)(1-q)^s \pmod{[p]_q}.$$

Proof. Setting $l=1$ in (12) and applying (3), we get the desired congruence. □

It is easy to show that the product of two multiple harmonic sums H_n^q (resp. S_n^q) can be expressed as a linear combination of H_n^q (resp. S_n^q). For example,

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^q(s_1)H_n^q(s_2) &= H_n^q(s_1,s_2)+H_n^q(s_2,s_1)+H_n^q(s_1+s_2)+(1-q)H_n^q(s_1+s_2-1), \\ S_n^q(s_1)S_n^q(s_2) &= S_n^q(s_1,s_2)+S_n^q(s_2,s_1)-S_n^q(s_1+s_2)-(1-q)S_n^q(s_1+s_2-1). \end{aligned}$$

From the above relations and Corollary 3.1 we obtain the following congruences.

Lemma 3.1. *Let p be a prime and a,b be positive integers. Then we have modulo $[p]_q$,*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{p-1}^q(a,b)+H_{p-1}^q(b,a) &\equiv (-1)^{a+b}(1-q)^{a+b}(K_a(p)K_b(p)-K_{a+b}(p)+K_{a+b-1}(p)), \\ S_{p-1}^q(a,b)+S_{p-1}^q(b,a) &\equiv (-1)^{a+b}(1-q)^{a+b}(K_a(p)K_b(p)+K_{a+b}(p)-K_{a+b-1}(p)). \end{aligned}$$

4. Homogeneous sums $H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t)$ and $S_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t)$

In this section, we prove congruences for homogeneous strict and non-strict sums on strings composed of twos.

Theorem 4.1. *For any integer $t \ge 1$ and any prime $p > t$, we have*

$$H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t) \equiv (-1)^t \binom{p+t}{2t+1} \frac{(1-q)^{2t}}{p(t+1)} \pmod{[p]_q}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. We start by considering a generating function for the sequence $H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^l)$, which is given by the product

$$\prod_{k=1}^{p-1}\left(1+\frac{x}{1-q^k}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{p-1}\frac{H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^l)}{(1-q)^l}\,x^l.$$

By [34, Theorem 2.1], we have

$$\prod_{k=1}^{p-1}\left(1+\frac{x}{1-q^k}\right)\equiv-\frac{1}{px}\left(1-(1+x)^p\right)\pmod{[p]_q}. \tag{15}$$

We are going to relate our sequence $H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t)$ to the finite product

$$F(z):=\prod_{k=1}^{p-1}\left(1-\frac{q^k}{(1-q^k)^2}\frac{(z-1)^2}{z}\right), \tag{16}$$

the limit case of which was studied in [8]. By (16) and (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned}F(z)&=\prod_{k=1}^{p-1}\left(1+\frac{z-1}{1-q^k}\right)\prod_{k=1}^{p-1}\left(1+\frac{z^{-1}-1}{1-q^k}\right)\\&\equiv\frac{(1-z^p)(1-z^{-p})}{p^2(z-1)(z^{-1}-1)}=\frac{(z^p-1)^2}{p^2z^{p-1}(z-1)^2}\pmod{[p]_q}.\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

From the other side, for any integer t, $0\le t\le p-1$, by expanding the product in (16), we have

$$z^tF(z)=\sum_{l=0}^{p-1}\frac{(-1)^lH_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^l)}{(1-q)^{2l}}\,(z-1)^{2l}z^{t-l}.$$

By expanding z^{t-l} in powers of $z-1$,

$$z^{t-l}=(1+(z-1))^{t-l}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{t-l}{k}(z-1)^k$$

where

$$\binom{\alpha}{k}=\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-k+1)}{k!},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}z^tF(z)&=\sum_{l=0}^{p-1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(z-1)^{2l+k}\binom{t-l}{k}\frac{(-1)^lH_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^l)}{(1-q)^{2l}}\\&=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(z-1)^j\sum_{l=0}^{\min([j/2],p-1)}\binom{t-l}{j-2l}\frac{(-1)^lH_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^l)}{(1-q)^{2l}}.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

To extract $H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t)$ from (18), we consider the coefficient of $(z-1)^{2t}$ on the right-hand side, which is exactly

$$\sum_{l=0}^{t}\binom{t-l}{2t-2l}\frac{(-1)^l H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^l)}{(1-q)^{2l}}=\frac{(-1)^t H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t)}{(1-q)^{2t}}, \tag{19}$$

since $\binom{t-l}{2t-2l}$ is distinct from zero for $l=0,1,\dots,t$ if and only if $l=t$.

Summarizing (17), (18) and (19), we get

$$\frac{(-1)^t H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t)}{(1-q)^{2t}}\equiv[(z-1)^{2t}]\frac{(z^p-1)^2 z^{t-p+1}}{p^2(z-1)^2}\pmod{[p]_q}, \tag{20}$$

where $[(z-a)^j]f(z)$ is the coefficient of $(z-a)^j$ in Taylor's expansion of $f(z)$ centered at a. By expanding $\frac{(z^p-1)^2z^{t-p+1}}{p^2(z-1)^2}$ into powers of $z-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(z^p-1)^2z^{t-p+1}}{p^2(z-1)^2}&=\frac{1}{p^2(z-1)^2}(z^{p+t+1}-2z^{t+1}+z^{t-p+1})\\&=\frac{1}{p^2(z-1)^2}\left((1+(z-1))^{p+t+1}-2(1+(z-1))^{t+1}+(1+(z-1))^{t-p+1}\right)\\&=\frac{1}{p^2(z-1)^2}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p+t+1}\binom{p+t+1}{k}(z-1)^k-2\sum_{k=0}^{t+1}\binom{t+1}{k}(z-1)^k\right.\\&\left.+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{t-p+1}{k}(z-1)^k\right),\end{aligned}$$

and therefore by (20),

$$\frac{(-1)^t H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t)}{(1-q)^{2t}}\equiv\frac{1}{p^2}\left(\binom{p+t+1}{2t+2}+\binom{t-p+1}{2t+2}\right)=\frac{1}{p(t+1)}\binom{p+t}{2t+1}\pmod{[p]_q},$$

that completes the proof. □

By applying a similar argument as above, we are able to find the right-hand side of congruence (7) explicitly.

Theorem 4.2. *For any positive integer t and any prime $p>t$, we have*

$$H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t;\{0\}^t)\equiv\left((-1)^t\binom{p-1}{2t+1}+\binom{p-1}{t}\right)\frac{(1-q)^{2t}}{(t+1)p}\pmod{[p]_q}. \tag{21}$$

Proof. Consider the product

$$F_1(z)=\prod_{k=1}^{p-1}\left(1-\frac{z^2}{(1-q^k)^2}\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{p-1}\frac{(-1)^t H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t;\{0\}^t)}{(1-q)^{2t}}z^{2t}. \tag{22}$$

Similarly as in the previous proof, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(z) &= \prod_{k=1}^{p-1}\left(1-\frac{z}{1-q^k}\right)\left(1+\frac{z}{1-q^k}\right) \equiv \frac{-1}{p^2z^2}(1-(1-z)^p)(1-(1+z)^p) \\ &= \frac{-1}{p^2z^2}(1-(1+z)^p-(1-z)^p+(1-z^2)^p) \pmod{[p]_q}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Now by comparing coefficients of z^{2t} on the right-hand sides of (22) and (23), we easily get

$$\frac{(-1)^t H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t;\{0\}^t)}{(1-q)^{2t}} \equiv \frac{-1}{p^2}\left(-\binom{p}{2t+2}-\binom{p}{2t+2}+(-1)^{t+1}\binom{p}{t+1}\right)$$

which implies (21). □

Theorem 4.3. *For any integer $t \geq 0$ and for any prime $p > 2t+1$,*

$$S_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t) \equiv (1-q)^{2t}\sum_{i=0}^{t}\left(t\binom{t-1}{i}+(t-1)\binom{t}{i}\right)(-1)^i K_{2t-i}(p) \pmod{[p]_q}.$$

Proof. By (23) in [15], we have

$$S_n^q(\{2\}^t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^{-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}(1+q^k)q^{\binom{k}{2}+tk}}{[k]_q^{2t}},$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \prod_{j=1}^{k}\frac{1-q^{n-k+j}}{1-q^j}$$

is the *Gaussian q-binomial coefficient*. By (17) and (18) in [27], for $k = 1, \ldots, p-1$,

$$[p]_q\begin{bmatrix} p-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q\begin{bmatrix} p-1+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^{-1} \equiv (-1)^k q^{-\binom{k}{2}-k}\left([k]_q-[p]_q-[p]_q[k]_q\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\frac{1+q^j}{[j]_q}\right) \pmod{[p]_q^2}.$$

Hence, for $n = p-1$ with $p > 2t+1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t) &\equiv \frac{1}{[p]_q}\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{(1+q^k)q^{(t-1)k}}{[k]_q^{2t}}\left(-[k]_q+[p]_q+[p]_q[k]_q\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\frac{1+q^j}{[j]_q}\right) \\ &\equiv -\frac{1}{[p]_q}\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{q^{(t-1)k}+q^{tk}}{[k]_q^{2t-1}}+\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{q^{(t-1)k}+q^{tk}}{[k]_q^{2t}} \\ &\quad +\sum_{1\leq j<k\leq p-1}\frac{(q^{tk}+q^{j+(t-1)k})+(q^{(t-1)k}+q^{j+tk})}{[j]_q[k]_q^{2t-1}} \pmod{[p]_q}. \end{aligned}$$

By (13) in [27], we have

$$\sum_{1\le j<k\le p-1}\frac{q^{tk}+q^{j+(t-1)k}}{[j]_q[k]_q^{2t-1}}\equiv\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{1}{[j]_q}\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{q^{tk}}{[k]_q^{2t-1}}-\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{q^{tk}}{[k]_q^{2t}}\pmod{[p]_q},$$

and

$$\sum_{1\le j<k\le p-1}\frac{q^{(t-1)k}+q^{j+tk}}{[j]_q[k]_q^{2t-1}}\equiv\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{1}{[j]_q}\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{q^{(t-1)k}}{[k]_q^{2t-1}}-\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{q^{(t-1)k}}{[k]_q^{2t}}\pmod{[p]_q}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}S_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t)&\equiv\left(-\frac{1}{[p]_q}+\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{1}{[j]_q}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{q^{(t-1)k}+q^{tk}}{[k]_q^{2t-1}}\\&\equiv(2t-1)\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{q^{tk}}{[k]_q^{2t}}-(t-1)(1-q)\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\frac{q^{tk}}{[k]_q^{2t-1}}\pmod{[p]_q},\end{aligned}$$

where we used (11) in [27]. Finally, we apply (5), which completes the proof. □

5. Homogeneous sums $H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^t)$

In this section, we consider homogeneous sums $H_{p-1}^q(\{s\}^t)$ when $s=3$. Before proving the main result of this section, we state the following combinatorial lemma. We owe the proof of this lemma to Robin Chapman [5].

Lemma 5.1. *Let p,s be positive integers, $\delta\in\{0,1\}$ and*

$$\begin{aligned}T(p,s,\delta)&:=[x^{3s}]\sum_{k=0}^{p-\delta}(-1)^k\binom{p-k-\delta}{k-\delta}(2-x)^{p-2k}(1+x)^{s-k},\\\widetilde{T}(p,s,\delta)&:=[x^{3s}]\sum_{k=0}^{p-\delta}(-1)^k\binom{p-k-\delta}{k-\delta}(2-x)^{p-2k}(1+x)^{p+s-k}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$T(p,s,\delta)=(-1)^\delta\binom{s+p-2\delta+1}{3s+1},\quad \widetilde{T}(p,s,\delta)=(-1)^{s+\delta}\binom{2s+p-2\delta+1}{3s+1}.$$

Proof. Consider generating functions of both sequences

$$G_{s,\delta}(y)=(-1)^\delta\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}T(p,s,\delta)y^p\quad\text{and}\quad\widetilde{G}_{s,\delta}(y)=(-1)^\delta\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\widetilde{T}(p,s,\delta)y^p.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{s,\delta}(y) &= [x^{3s}]\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{p-2\delta}(-1)^k\binom{p-k-2\delta}{k}(2-x)^{p-2k-2\delta}(1+x)^{s-k-\delta}y^p \\
&= y^{2\delta}[x^{3s}](1+x)^{s-\delta}\sum_{r\geq 0}\sum_{k=0}^{r}(-1)^k\binom{r}{k}(2-x)^{r-k}(1+x)^{-k}y^{k+r} \\
&= y^{2\delta}[x^{3s}](1+x)^{s-\delta}\sum_{r\geq 0}y^r(2-x)^r\left(1-\frac{y}{(2-x)(1+x)}\right)^r \\
&= y^{2\delta}[x^{3s}]\frac{(1+x)^{s-\delta}}{1-y(2-x)\left(1-\frac{y}{(2-x)(1+x)}\right)} \\
&= y^{2\delta}[x^{3s}]\frac{(1+x)^{s-\delta+1}}{1+x-y(2-x)(1+x)+y^2} \\
&= y^{2\delta}[x^{3s}]\frac{(1+x)^{s-\delta+1}}{(1-y)^2+(1-y)x+yx^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by letting $u = 1/(1-y)$, we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^\delta T(p,s,\delta) &= [y^{p-2\delta}][x^{3s}]\frac{(1+x)^{s-\delta+1}}{(1-y)^2+(1-y)x+yx^2} \\
&= [y^{p-2\delta}]\frac{[x^{3s}]}{(1-y)^2}\frac{(1+x)^{s-\delta+1}}{1+ux+(u^2-u)x^2} \\
&= [y^{p-2\delta}]\frac{[x^{3s}]}{(1-y)^2}(1+x)^{s-\delta+1}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}a_jx^j \\
&= [y^{p-2\delta}]\frac{1}{(1-y)^2}\sum_{j=0}^{s-\delta+1}\binom{s-\delta+1}{j}a_{2s+\delta-1+j} \\
&= [y^{p-2\delta}]\frac{1}{(1-y)^2}\sum_{j=0}^{s-\delta+1}\binom{s-\delta+1}{j}\frac{\alpha^{2s+\delta+j}-\beta^{2s+\delta+j}}{\alpha-\beta} \\
&= [y^{p-2\delta}]\frac{1}{(1-y)^2}\frac{\alpha^{2s+\delta}(1+\alpha)^{s-\delta+1}-\beta^{2s+\delta}(1+\beta)^{s-\delta+1}}{\alpha-\beta} \\
&= [y^{p-2\delta}]\frac{y^{2s}}{(1-y)^{3s+2}}\frac{\alpha^{\delta}(1+\alpha)^{1-\delta}-\beta^{\delta}(1+\beta)^{1-\delta}}{\alpha-\beta} \\
&= [y^{p-2\delta-2s}](1-y)^{-(3s+2)} = \binom{s+p-2\delta+1}{3s+1}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\alpha^2(1+\alpha) = \beta^2(1+\beta) = u(u-1)^2 = y^2/(1-y)^3$.

Similarly, for the second generating function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{G}_{s,\delta}(y) &= [x^{3s}]\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{p-2\delta}(-1)^k\binom{p-k-2\delta}{k}(2-x)^{p-2k-2\delta}(1+x)^{p+s-k-\delta}y^p\\
&= y^{2\delta}[x^{3s}](1+x)^{s+\delta}\sum_{r\geq 0}\sum_{k=0}^{r}(-1)^k\binom{r}{k}(2-x)^{r-k}(1+x)^r y^{k+r}\\
&= y^{2\delta}[x^{3s}](1+x)^{s+\delta}\sum_{r\geq 0}y^r(2-x)^r(1+x)^r\left(1-\frac{y}{2-x}\right)^r\\
&= y^{2\delta}[x^{3s}]\frac{(1+x)^{s+\delta}}{1-y(1+x)(2-x-y)}\\
&= y^{2\delta}[x^{3s}]\frac{(1+x)^{s+\delta}}{(1-y)^2-y(1-y)x+yx^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By comparing the coefficients of the powers of y on both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^\delta\widetilde{T}(p,s,\delta) &= [y^{p-2\delta}][x^{3s}]\frac{(1+x)^{s+\delta}}{(1-y)^2-y(1-y)x+yx^2}\\
&= [y^{p-2\delta}]\frac{[x^{3s}]}{(1-y)^2}\frac{(1+x)^{s+\delta}}{1-(u-1)x+(u^2-u)x^2}\\
&= [y^{p-2\delta}]\frac{[x^{3s}]}{(1-y)^2}(1+x)^{s+\delta}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\widetilde{a}_j x^j\\
&= [y^{p-2\delta}]\frac{1}{(1-y)^2}\sum_{j=0}^{s+\delta}\binom{s+\delta}{j}\widetilde{a}_{2s-\delta+j}\\
&= [y^{p-2\delta}]\frac{1}{(1-y)^2}\sum_{j=0}^{s+\delta}\binom{s+\delta}{j}\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}^{2s-\delta+j+1}-\widetilde{\beta}^{2s-\delta+j+1}}{\widetilde{\alpha}-\widetilde{\beta}}\\
&= [y^{p-2\delta}]\frac{1}{(1-y)^2}\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}^{2s-\delta+1}(1+\widetilde{\alpha})^{s+\delta}-\widetilde{\beta}^{2s-\delta+1}(1+\widetilde{\beta})^{s+\delta}}{\widetilde{\alpha}-\widetilde{\beta}}\\
&= [y^{p-2\delta}]\frac{(-1)^s y^s}{(1-y)^{3s+2}}\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}^{1-\delta}(1+\widetilde{\alpha})^{\delta}-\widetilde{\beta}^{1-\delta}(1+\widetilde{\beta})^{\delta}}{\widetilde{\alpha}-\widetilde{\beta}}\\
&= (-1)^s[y^{p-2\delta-s}](1-y)^{-(3s+2)} = (-1)^s\binom{2s+p-2\delta+1}{3s+1}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\widetilde{\alpha}^2(1+\widetilde{\alpha}) = \widetilde{\beta}^2(1+\widetilde{\beta}) = u^2(1-u) = -y/(1-y)^3$. □

Theorem 5.1. *For any positive integer t and for any prime $p > t$,*

$$\frac{H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^t)}{(1-q)^{3t}} \equiv \frac{1}{(t+1)p^2}\left(\binom{p+2t+1}{3t+2}+\binom{-p+2t+1}{3t+2}\right) \pmod{[p]_q}. \tag{24}$$

Proof. Since by (12), $H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^t) \equiv (-1)^t H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^t;\{1\}^t) \pmod{[p]_q}$, we may deal with $H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^t;\{1\}^t)$. A generating function for the sequence $H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^t;\{1\}^t)$ can be derived from the product

$$F(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(1 + \frac{q^k}{(1-q^k)^3}\frac{(z-1)^3}{z}\right).$$

We have

$$z^t F(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \frac{H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^l;\{1\}^l)}{(1-q)^{3l}} (z-1)^{3l} z^{t-l}$$

and since

$$z^{t-l} = (1+(z-1))^{t-l} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{t-l}{k} (z-1)^k,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} z^t F(z) &= \sum_{l=0}^{p-1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (z-1)^{3l+k} \binom{t-l}{k} \frac{H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^l;\{1\}^l)}{(1-q)^{3l}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z-1)^j \sum_{l=0}^{\min([j/3],p-1)} \binom{t-l}{j-3l} \frac{H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^l;\{1\}^l)}{(1-q)^{3l}}. \end{aligned}$$

To extract $H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^t;\{1\}^t)$, we consider

$$[(z-1)^{3t}] z^t F(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{t} \binom{t-l}{3t-3l} \frac{H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^l;\{1\}^l)}{(1-q)^{3l}} = \frac{H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^t;\{1\}^t)}{(1-q)^{3t}}. \tag{25}$$

From the other side, we can factor $F(z)$ as

$$F(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(1 + \frac{z-1}{1-q^k}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\frac{(z-1)}{2}(1+\sqrt{1-4/z})}{1-q^k}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\frac{(z-1)}{2}(1-\sqrt{1-4/z})}{1-q^k}\right).$$

Then by (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) \equiv -\frac{(1-z^p)}{p(z-1)} \cdot & \frac{\left(1-(1-\frac{(z-1)}{2}(1+\sqrt{1-4/z}))^p\right)}{p(z-1)(1+\sqrt{1-4/z})} \\ & \times \frac{\left(1-(1-\frac{(z-1)}{2}(1-\sqrt{1-4/z}))^p\right)}{p(z-1)(1-\sqrt{1-4/z})} \pmod{[p]_q}, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$F(z) \equiv \frac{z(z^p-1)}{p^3(z-1)^3}\left(1 + \frac{1}{z^p} - \frac{2}{z^{p/2}} T_p\left(\frac{\sqrt{z}(3-z)}{2}\right)\right) \pmod{[p]_q}.$$

where $T_n(x)$ denotes the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.

Hence, by (25), we conclude that

$$\frac{H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^t;\{1\}^t)}{(1-q)^{3t}} \equiv [(z-1)^{3t}]\frac{z^{t+1}(z^p-1)}{p^3(z-1)^3}\left(1+\frac{1}{z^p}-\frac{2}{z^{p/2}}T_p\left(\frac{\sqrt{z}(3-z)}{2}\right)\right) \pmod{[p]_q}. \tag{26}$$

By Kummer's formula

$$a^n+b^n=\sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]}(-1)^k\frac{n}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{k}(ab)^k(a+b)^{n-2k},$$

we have

$$\frac{2}{z^{p/2}}T_p\left(\frac{\sqrt{z}(3-z)}{2}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2}(-1)^k\frac{p}{p-k}\binom{p-k}{k}\frac{1}{z^k}(3-z)^{p-2k}. \tag{27}$$

Taking into account that

$$\frac{p}{p-k}\binom{p-k}{k}=\binom{p-k}{k}+\binom{p-k-1}{k-1}$$

and by substituting (27) into (26), we get modulo $[p]_q$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{H_{p-1}^q(\{3\}^t;\{1\}^t)}{(1-q)^{3t}} &\equiv \frac{1}{p^3}[(z-1)^{3t+3}](z^{t+p+1}-z^{t+1-p})\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{p^3}(\widetilde{T}(p,t+1,0)-T(p,t+1,0)+\widetilde{T}(p,t+1,1)-T(p,t+1,1)).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$[(z-1)^{3t+3}](z^{t+p+1}-z^{t+1-p})=\binom{p+t+1}{3t+3}+(-1)^t\binom{p+2t+1}{3t+3},$$

by Lemma 5.1, we readily get the desired congruence. □

6. Quasi-homogeneous sums $H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^a,3,\{2\}^b)$ and $S_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^a,3,\{2\}^b)$

The aim of this section is to prove first congruences for quasi-homogeneous q-harmonic sums.

Theorem 6.1. *For any integers $a,b\geq 0$ and for any prime $p>2t+1$ with $t=a+b+1$,*

$$H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^a,3,\{2\}^b)+H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^b,3,\{2\}^a)\equiv(-1)^{t-1}\binom{p+t}{2t+1}\frac{(1-q)^{2t+1}}{p(t+1)} \pmod{[p]_q},$$

$$\begin{aligned}&S_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^a,3,\{2\}^b)+S_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^b,3,\{2\}^a)\\ &\qquad\equiv -(1-q)^{2t+1}\sum_{i=0}^{t}\left(t\binom{t-1}{i}+(t-1)\binom{t}{i}\right)(-1)^iK_{2t-i}(p) \pmod{[p]_q}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^a,3,\{2\}^b)+(1-q)H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t)\\
&=\sum_{k_1<k_2<\ldots<k_a}\frac{q^{k_1+\cdots+k_a}}{[k_1]_q^2\ldots[k_a]_q^2}\sum_{k_a<n<k_{a+1}}\frac{q^{2n}+q^n(1-q^n)}{[n]_q^3}\sum_{k_{a+1}<k_{a+2}<\cdots<k_{a+b}}\frac{q^{k_{a+1}+\cdots+k_{a+b}}}{[k_{a+1}]^2\ldots[k_{a+b}]^2}\\
&=H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^a,3,\{2\}^b;\{1\}^{a+b+1})\equiv -H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^b,3,\{2\}^a)\pmod{[p]_q},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last congruence we used (12). Hence

$$H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^a,3,\{2\}^b)+H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^b,3,\{2\}^a)\equiv -(1-q)H_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t)\pmod{[p]_q}$$

which, by (14), implies the first congruence of the theorem. In a similar way, we show that

$$S_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^a,3,\{2\}^b)+S_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^b,3,\{2\}^a)\equiv -(1-q)S_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t)\pmod{[p]_q}$$

and then by Theorem 4.3, we conclude the proof. □

7. Duality for multiple q-harmonic (non-strict) sums

In [6], Dilcher established the following identity: for any pair of positive integers n, s,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{(-1)^kq^{\binom{k}{2}+sk}}{[k]_q^s}=-\sum_{1\le j_1\le j_2\le\cdots\le j_s\le n}\prod_{i=1}^{s}\frac{q^{j_i}}{[j_i]_q}. \tag{28}$$

Identity (28) is a generalization of the case $s=1$ due to Van Hamme [29] and by taking the limit as n goes to infinity one obtains a remarkable q-series related to overpartitions and divisor generating functions. For example, if $s=1$ and $|q|<1$ then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{k-1}q^{\binom{k+1}{2}}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^{k-1})(1-q^k)^2}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^j}{1-q^j}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}d(j)q^j.$$

where $d(j)$ is the number of divisors of j (see the pioneering paper of Uchimura [28]). With this motivation, several authors have recently investigated (28) and they extended it along several directions: see for example [1, 3, 9, 10, 12, 13, 20, 22, 24, 25, 31, 32]. In [23], Prodinger shows the inversion of (28),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^kq^{\binom{k}{2}-nk}\sum_{1\le j_1\le j_2\le\cdots\le j_s=k}\prod_{i=1}^{s}\frac{q^{j_i}}{[j_i]_q}=-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{q^{(s-1)k}}{[k]_q^s}, \tag{29}$$

which is the q-analog of a formula of Hernandez [14].

In [3], Bradley extended identity (28) to multiple q-binomial sums

$$A_n(s_1,\ldots,s_l)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^{k-1}q^{\binom{k}{2}+k}\sum_{1\le k_1\le k_2\le\cdots\le k_l=k}\prod_{j=1}^{l}\frac{q^{(s_j-1)k_j}}{[k_j]_q^{s_j}},$$

(note that we changed the order of summation here in comparison with Bradley's definition) and proved a duality identity between q-harmonic non-strict sums S_n^q and A_n: for positive integers $r, a_1, b_1, \dots, a_r, b_r$,

$$S_n^q\Big(a_1, \{1\}^{b_1-1}, \mathop{\mathbf{Cat}}_{j=2}^{r}\{a_j+1, \{1\}^{b_j-1}\}; \mathbf{1}\Big) = A_n\Big(\mathop{\mathbf{Cat}}_{j=1}^{r-1}\{\{1\}^{a_j-1}, b_j+1\}, \{1\}^{a_r-1}, b_r\Big) \quad (30)$$

where $\mathbf{Cat}_{j=1}^{l}\{s_j\}$ stands for the concatenated argument sequence $s_1, \dots, s_l$ and $\mathbf{1}$ denotes a vector whose all components are equal to 1. From (30) we get a duality relation for multiple q-harmonic non-strict sums modulo $[p]_q$.

Theorem 7.1. *Let p be a prime. Then for positive integers $r, a_1, b_1, \dots, a_r, b_r$, we have*

$$S_{p-1}^q\Big(\mathop{\mathbf{Cat}}_{j=1}^{r-1}\{\{1\}^{a_j-1}, b_j+1\}, \{1\}^{a_r-1}, b_r\Big)$$
$$\equiv (-1)^{a_1+b_1+\cdots+a_r+b_r} S_{p-1}^q\Big(\mathop{\mathbf{Cat}}_{j=1}^{r-1}\{\{1\}^{b_{r-j+1}-1}, a_{r-j+1}+1\}, \{1\}^{b_1-1}, a_1\Big) \pmod{[p]_q}.$$

Proof. For $1 \le j < p$, we have $[p-j]_q = q^{-j}([p]_q - [j]_q)$, which implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} p-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{[p-j]_q}{[j]_q} \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{k}(-q^{-j}) = (-1)^k q^{-\binom{k+1}{2}} \pmod{[p]_q}. \quad (31)$$

Now by Theorem 3.1 and (30), we readily get the congruence. □

In particular, for $r = 1$ we obtain the following result.

Corollary 7.1. *Let p be a prime. Then for positive integers a, b we have*

$$S_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^{a-1}, b) \equiv (-1)^{a+b} S_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^{b-1}, a) \pmod{[p]_q}.$$

Theorem 7.2. *Let a, b be non-negative integers and t be a positive integer. Then*

$$S_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^t) \equiv -K_t(p)(1-q)^t \pmod{[p]_q},$$

$$S_{p-1}^q(1, \{2\}^{t-1}, 1) \equiv (1-q)^{2t} \sum_{j=0}^{t}\Big(t\binom{t-1}{j} + (t-1)\binom{t}{j}\Big)(-1)^{j+1} K_{2t-j}(p) \pmod{[p]_q},$$

$$S_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^a, 2, \{1\}^b) + S_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^b, 2, \{1\}^a)$$
$$\equiv (1-q)^{a+b+2}(K_{a+b+1}(p) - K_{a+b+2}(p) - K_{a+1}(p)K_{b+1}(p)) \pmod{[p]_q}.$$

Proof. Setting $b = 1$, $a = t$ in Corollary 7.1, we get the first congruence by Theorem 3.1. Setting $r = t$, $a_1 = \ldots = a_t = 1$, $b_1 = \ldots = b_{t-1} = 1$, $b_t = 2$ in Theorem 7.1, we get

$$S_{p-1}^q(\{2\}^t) \equiv -S_{p-1}^q(1, \{2\}^{t-1}, 1) \pmod{[p]_q},$$

and the result follows immediately from Theorem 4.3. If we put $r = 2$, $a_1 = a+1$, $b_1 = b_2 = 1$, $a_2 = b$, we get

$$S_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^a, 2, \{1\}^b) \equiv (-1)^{a+b+1} S_{p-1}^q(b+1, a+1) \pmod{[p]_q}. \quad (32)$$

Now by Lemma 3.1, we easily derive the last congruence of the theorem. □

8. Duality for multiple q-harmonic (strict) sums

In this section, we provide further extensions of (28) and (29) and then consider application of the new identities to q-congruences.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $n, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l$ be positive integers. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}+k} \sum_{1\le k_1<k_2<\cdots<k_l=k} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{q^{(s_i-1)k_i}}{[k_i]_q^{s_i}} = (-1)^l \sum_{\substack{1\le j_1\le j_2\le\cdots\le j_w\le n \\ j_i<j_{i+1},\, i\in I}} \prod_{i=1}^{w} \frac{q^{j_i}}{[j_i]_q}, \tag{33}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}-nk} \sum_{\substack{1\le j_1\le j_2\le\cdots\le j_w=k \\ j_i<j_{i+1},\, i\in I}} \prod_{i=1}^{w} \frac{q^{j_i}}{[j_i]_q} = (-1)^l \sum_{1\le k_1<k_2<\cdots<k_l\le n} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{q^{(s_i-1)k_i}}{[k_i]_q^{s_i}} \tag{34}$$

where $w=\sum_{i=1}^{l} s_i$ and $I=\{s_1, s_1+s_2, \dots, s_1+s_2+\cdots+s_{l-1}\}$.

The proof of Theorem 8.1 will be given in the next section.
From (33) with n replaced by $p-1$, where p is a prime, with the help of congruence (31) we get a kind of duality for multiple q-harmonic H_n^q sums.

Theorem 8.2. *Let p be a prime and $s_1, \dots, s_l$ be positive integers. Then*

$$H_{p-1}^q(s_1,\dots,s_l) \equiv (-1)^l \sum_{\substack{1\le j_1\le j_2\le\cdots\le j_w\le p-1 \\ j_i<j_{i+1},\, i\in I}} \prod_{i=1}^{w} \frac{q^{j_i}}{[j_i]_q} \pmod{[p]_q},$$

where $w=\sum_{i=1}^{l} s_i$ and $I=\{s_1, s_1+s_2, \dots, s_1+s_2+\cdots+s_{l-1}\}$.

As an application of the duality relation above, we prove the following congruence for quasi-homogeneous sums.

Theorem 8.3. *For any integers $a, b \ge 0$ and for any prime $p > t$, where $t = a+b+1$,*

$$H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^a, 2, \{1\}^b) + H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^b, 2, \{1\}^a) \equiv -\binom{p+1}{t+2} \frac{(1-q)^{t+1}}{p} \pmod{[p]_q}. \tag{35}$$

Proof. By Theorem 8.2, we easily obtain the following congruences modulo $[p]_q$,

$$\begin{aligned} -H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^a, 2, \{1\}^b) &\equiv (-1)^{a+b} \sum_{1\le j_1<\cdots<j_a<j_{a+1}\le j_{a+2}<j_{a+3}<\cdots<j_{t+1}\le p-1} \prod_{i=1}^{t+1} \frac{q^{j_i}}{[j_i]_q} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{a+b} \sum_{1\le j_{t+1}<\cdots<j_{a+3}<j_{a+2}\le j_{a+1}<j_a<\cdots<j_1\le p-1} \prod_{i=1}^{t+1} \frac{q^{p-j_i}}{[p-j_i]_q} \\ &\equiv \sum_{1\le j_{t+1}<\cdots<j_{a+3}<j_{a+2}\le j_{a+1}<j_a<\cdots<j_1\le p-1} \prod_{i=1}^{t+1} \frac{1}{[j_i]_q}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of the last congruence can be decomposed as

$$\sum_{1\le j_{t+1}<\cdots<j_{a+3}<j_{a+2}\le j_{a+1}<j_a<\cdots<j_1\le p-1}((1-q)[j_{a+1}]_q+q^{j_{a+1}})\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{1}{[j_i]_q} \quad + \sum_{1\le j_{t+1}<\cdots<j_1\le p-1}\prod_{i=1}^{t+1}\frac{1}{[j_i]_q}.$$

Therefore

$$-H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^a,2,\{1\}^b)\equiv(1-q)H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^t)+H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^b,2,\{1\}^a)+H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^{t+1}).$$

Finally, by employing congruence (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^a,2,\{1\}^b)+H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^b,2,\{1\}^a)&\equiv-(1-q)H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^t)-H_{p-1}^q(\{1\}^{t+1})\\&\equiv-(1-q)\binom{p-1}{t}\frac{(1-q)^t}{r}-\binom{p-1}{t+1}\frac{(1-q)^{t+1}}{t+2}\\&\equiv-\binom{p+1}{t+2}\frac{(1-q)^{t+1}}{p}\pmod{[p]_q}.\end{aligned}$$

□

9. Proof of Theorem 8.1

In order to prove identities (33) and (34), we need first some auxiliary statements.

Lemma 9.1. *Let n,j be positive integers with $j\le n$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=j}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}k-1\\j-1\end{bmatrix}_q q^k=\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_q q^j, \tag{36}$$

$$\sum_{k=j}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}=\begin{bmatrix}n-1\\j-1\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}}. \tag{37}$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{bmatrix}k\\j\end{bmatrix}_q=\begin{bmatrix}k-1\\j-1\end{bmatrix}_q q^{k-j}+\begin{bmatrix}k-1\\j\end{bmatrix}_q,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{k=j}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}k-1\\j-1\end{bmatrix}_q q^k=q^j\sum_{k=j}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}k-1\\j-1\end{bmatrix}_q q^{k-j}=q^j\sum_{k=j}^{n}\left(\begin{bmatrix}k\\j\end{bmatrix}_q-\begin{bmatrix}k-1\\j\end{bmatrix}_q\right)=\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_q q^j.$$

As regards (37), since

$$\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q=\begin{bmatrix}n-1\\k-1\end{bmatrix}_q+q^k\begin{bmatrix}n-1\\k\end{bmatrix}_q,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=j}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} &= \sum_{k=j}^{n}\left(\begin{bmatrix}n-1\\k-1\end{bmatrix}_q + q^k\begin{bmatrix}n-1\\k\end{bmatrix}_q\right)(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}\\ &= \sum_{k=j}^{n}\left(\begin{bmatrix}n-1\\k-1\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} - \begin{bmatrix}n-1\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^{k+1}q^{\binom{k+1}{2}}\right)\\ &= \begin{bmatrix}n-1\\j-1\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma provides some simple properties of the q-binomial transform of $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ given by

$$b_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}a_k, \tag{38}$$

and its inverse

$$a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^k q^{\binom{n-k}{2}}b_k. \tag{39}$$

Lemma 9.2. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be two sequences which satisfy* (38)*. Then for any positive integer r,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}+rk}}{[k]_q^r}\cdot a_k = \sum_{1\leq k_1\leq k_2\leq\cdots\leq k_r\leq n}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{q^{k_i}}{[k_i]_q}\cdot b_{k_1}, \tag{40}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}-nk}\sum_{1\leq k_1\leq k_2\leq\cdots\leq k_r=k}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{q^{k_i}}{[k_i]_q}\cdot b_{k_1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{q^{(r-1)k}}{[k]_q^r}\cdot a_k. \tag{41}$$

Proof. For $i\geq 0$, let

$$b_n(i) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}a_k(i) \quad\text{with}\quad a_k(i) = \frac{q^{ik}a_k}{[k]_q^i}.$$

Hence, by (36),

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{q^k b_k(i)}{[k]_q} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{q^k}{[k]_q}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\begin{bmatrix}k\\j\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}}a_j(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}}a_j(i)\sum_{k=j}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}k\\j\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{q^k}{[k]_q}\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}}a_j(i)}{[j]_q}\sum_{k=j}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}k-1\\j-1\end{bmatrix}_q q^k = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}+j}a_j(i)}{[j]_q}\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}}a_j(i+1) = b_n(i+1).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$b_n(r) = \sum_{k_r=1}^{n} \frac{q^{k_r} b_{k_r}(r-1)}{[k_r]_q} = \sum_{k_r=1}^{n} \frac{q^{k_r}}{[k_r]_q} \sum_{k_{r-1}=1}^{k_r} \frac{q^{k_{r-1}} b_{k_{r-1}}(r-2)}{[k_{r-1}]_q} = \sum_{1\le k_1\le k_2\le\cdots\le k_r\le n} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{q^{k_i}}{[k_i]_q} \cdot b_{k_1}$$

and (40) is proved.
Finally, by Lemma 1 in [23] (or by the use of the inverse relation (39)), it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}-nk} (b_k(r) - b_{k-1}(r)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{-k} a_k(r),$$

which yields (41). □

9.1. **Proof of identities** (33) **and** (34)**.** We begin with the following result.

Lemma 9.3. *Let* $\mathbf{s} \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^l$ *and let* r *be a positive integer. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{s}, r) = \frac{q^n}{[n]_q} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}+(r-1)k} H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{s})}{[k]_q^{r-1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}+rk} H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{s})}{[k]_q^r}. \tag{42}$$

Proof. By using (37), and the recursive relation

$$H_n^q(\mathbf{s}, r) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{q^{(r-1)k} H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{s})}{[k]_q^r},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{s}, r) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{q^{(r-1)j} H_{j-1}^q(\mathbf{s})}{[j]_q^r} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q^{(r-1)j} H_{j-1}^q(\mathbf{s})}{[j]_q^r} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q^{(r-1)j} H_{j-1}^q(\mathbf{s})}{[j]_q^r} \left(\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^{j+1} q^{\binom{j}{2}+j} \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(-q^j)[n-j]_q}{[n]_q[j]_q} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}+(r-1)j} H_{j-1}^q(\mathbf{s})}{[j]_q^{r-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete as soon as we note that

$$\frac{q^n}{[n]_q} - \frac{q^j}{[j]_q} = \frac{q^n(1-q^j) - q^j(1-q^n)}{[n]_q[j]_q(1-q)} = \frac{(-q^j)[n-j]_q}{[n]_q[j]_q}.$$

□

In order to reduce the use of symbols indicating multiple nested sums, we introduce

$$T_n^q(\mathbf{s}) := \sum \prod_{i=1}^{w(\mathbf{s})} \frac{q^{j_i}}{[j_i]_q}$$

where the sum is intended to be taken over all integers satisfying the conditions:

$1 \le j_i \le n$, $j_i < j_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{s_1, s_1+s_2, \ldots, s_1+s_2+\cdots+s_{l-1}\}$ and $j_i \le j_{i+1}$ otherwise.

Note that the following recursive relations hold

$$T_n^q(\mathbf{s},1) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{q^k T_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{s})}{[k]_q} \quad \text{and} \quad T_n^q(\mathbf{s},r) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{q^k T_k^q(\mathbf{s},r-1)}{[k]_q} \quad \text{for } r>1. \tag{43}$$

In the next theorem, the identities (45) and (46) are equivalent to (33) and (34) respectively.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $\mathbf{s} \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^l$ and let r be a positive integer. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{s}) = (-1)^{l+1} T_{n-1}^q(\mathbf{s}), \tag{44}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}+rk} H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{s})}{[k]_q^r} = (-1)^{l+1} T_n^q(\mathbf{s},r), \tag{45}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}-nk} \sum_{1\le k_1\le k_2\le\cdots\le k_r=k} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{q^{k_i}}{[k_i]_q} \cdot T_{k_1-1}^q(\mathbf{s}) = (-1)^{l+1} H_n^q(\mathbf{s},r). \tag{46}$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length l.
The base case $l=0$ of (44) is true because by (37),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} = -1.$$

The base case $l=0$ of (45) follows from (40) and the previous equation, and reads

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}+rk}}{[k]_q^r} = -T_n^q(r).$$

In a similar way, for $l=0$, (41) implies (46).
Now assume that $l>0$ and let $\mathbf{s}=(\mathbf{t},s)$. By (42), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{t},s) = \frac{q^n}{[n]_q} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}+(s-1)k} H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{t})}{[k]_q^{s-1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}+sk} H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{t})}{[k]_q^{s}}.$$

If $s=1$ then by the inductive step and (43), we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{t},1)=\frac{q^n}{[n]_q}(-1)^{l(\mathbf{t})+1}T_{n-1}^q(\mathbf{t})-(-1)^{l(\mathbf{t})+1}T_n^q(\mathbf{t},1)$$
$$=(-1)^{l+1}\left(T_n^q(\mathbf{t},1)-\frac{q^nT_{n-1}^q(\mathbf{t})}{[n]_q}\right)=(-1)^{l+1}T_{n-1}^q(\mathbf{s}).$$

On the other hand, if $s>1$ then by the inductive step and (43), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{t},s)=\frac{q^n}{[n]_q}(-1)^{l(\mathbf{t})+1}T_n^q(\mathbf{t},s-1)-(-1)^{l(\mathbf{t})+1}T_n^q(\mathbf{t},s)$$
$$=(-1)^{l+1}\left(T_n^q(\mathbf{t},s)-\frac{q^nT_n^q(\mathbf{t},s-1)}{[n]_q}\right)=(-1)^{l+1}T_{n-1}^q(\mathbf{s}),$$

and therefore (44) holds.
Putting $a_n=H_{n-1}^q(\mathbf{s})$ and $b_n=(-1)^{l+1}T_{n-1}^q(\mathbf{s})$ in (40) and using (44), we immediately get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}+kr}H_{k-1}^q(\mathbf{s})}{[k]_q^r}=\sum_{1\le k_1\le k_2\le\cdots\le k_r\le n}\prod_{j=1}^{r}\frac{q^{k_j}}{[k_j]_q}\cdot(-1)^{l+1}T_{k_1-1}^q(\mathbf{s})$$
$$=(-1)^{l+1}T_n^q(\mathbf{s},r)$$

and the proof of (45) is complete. Similarly, by (44) and (41), we obtain (46). □

Acknowledgment.

Kh. Hessami Pilehrood and T. Hessami Pilehrood acknowledge support from the Fields Institute Research Immersion Fellowships.

References

[1] M. Ando, *A combinatorial proof of an identity for the divisor generating function* Electron. J. Comb. **20** (2013), P2.13.
[2] G. E. Andrews, *q-analogs of the binomial coefficient congruences of Babbage, Wolstenholme and Glaisher*, Discrete Math. **204** (1999), 15–25.
[3] D. Bradley, *Duality for finite multiple harmonic q-series*, Discrete Math. **300** (2005), 44–56.
[4] L. Carlitz, *A degenerate Staudt-Clausen theorem*, Arch. Math. **7** (1956), 28–33.
[5] R. J. Chapman, Mathematics Research Institute, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK, private communication, May 2015.
[6] K. Dilcher, *Some q-series identities related to divisor functions*, Discrete Math. **145** (1995), 83–93.
[7] K. Dilcher, *Determinant expressions for q-harmonic congruences and degenerate Bernoulli numbers,* Electron. J. Comb. **15** (2008), R63.
[8] K. Dilcher, Kh. Hessami Pilehrood, T. Hessami Pilehrood, *On q-analogues of double Euler sums,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **410** (2014), 979–988.
[9] A. M. Fu and A. Lascoux, *q-Identities from Lagrange and Newton interpolation*, Discrete Math. **31** (2003), 527–531.
[10] A. M. Fu and A. Lascoux, *q-Identities related to overpartitions and divisor functions*, Electron. J. Comb. **12** (2005), R38.

[11] J. W. L. Glaisher, *On the residues of the sums of the inverse powers of numbers in arithmetical progression*, Quart. j. Math. **32** (1900), 271–288.

[12] V. J. W. Guo and C. Zhang, *Some further q-series identities related to divisor functions*, Ramanujan J. **25** (2011), 295–306.

[13] V. J. W. Guo and J. Zeng, *Further (p, q)-identities related to divisor functions*, preprint, arXiv:1312.6537.

[14] V. Hernandez, *Solution IV of problem 10490*, Am. Math. Mon. **106** (1999), 589–590.

[15] Kh. Hessami Pilehrood and T. Hessami Pilehrood, *On q-analogues of two-one formulas for multiple harmonic sums and multiple zeta star values*, Monatsh. Math. **176** (2015), 275–291.

[16] Kh. Hessami Pilehrood, T. Hessami Pilehrood, R. Tauraso, *New properties of multiple harmonic sums modulo p and p-analogues of Leshchiner's series,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 6, 3131–3159.

[17] Kh. Hessami Pilehrood, T. Hessami Pilehrood, J. Zhao, *On q-analogs of some families of multiple harmonic sum and multiple zeta star value identities*, arXiv:1307.7985v2 [math.NT] preprint, submitted for publication.

[18] M. E. Hoffman, *Quasi-symmetric functions and mod p multiple harmonic sums*, arXiv.org/abs/math.NT/0401319

[19] F. T. Howard, *Explicit formulas for degenerate Bernoulli numbers,* Discrete Mathematics **162** (1996), 175–185.

[20] M.E.H. Ismail and D. Stanton, *Some combinatorial and analytical identities*, Ann. Comb., **16** (2012), 755–771.

[21] E. Lehmer, *On congruences involving Bernoulli numbers and the quotients of Fermat and Wilson*, Ann. Math., 2nd Ser., **39** (1938), 350–360.

[22] T. Mansour, M. Shattuck, and C. Song, *A q-analog of a general rational sum identity*, Afr. Mat. **24** (2013), 297–303.

[23] H. Prodinger, *A q-analogue of a formula of Hernandez obtained by inverting a result of Dilcher*, Austral. J. Combin. **21** (2000), 271–274.

[24] H. Prodinger, *Some applications of the q-Rice formula*, Random Struct. Algor. **19** (2001), 552–557.

[25] H. Prodinger, *q-Identities of Fu and Lascoux proved by the q-Rice formula*, Quaest. Math. **27** (2004), 391–395.

[26] L.-L. Shi, H. Pan, *A q-analogue of Wolstenholme's harmonic series congruence*, Amer. Math. Monthly **114** (2007), 529–531.

[27] R. Tauraso, *Some q-analogs of congruences for central binomial sums*, Colloq. Math. **133** (2013), 133–143.

[28] K. Uchimura, *An identity for the divisor generating function arising from sorting theory*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **31** (1981), 131–135.

[29] L. Van Hamme, *Advanced problem 6407*, Am. Math. Mon. **489** (1982), 703–704.

[30] J. Wolstenholme, *On certain properties of prime numbers*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **5** (1862), 35–39.

[31] A. Xu, *On a general q-identity*, Electron. J. Comb. **21** (2014), P2.28.

[32] J. Zeng, *On some q-identities related to divisor functions*, Adv. Appl. Math. **34** (2005), 313–315.

[33] J. Zhao, *Wolstenholme type theorem for multiple harmonic sums*, Int. J. Number Theory **4** (2008), 73–106.

[34] J. Zhao, *On q-analog of Wolstenholme type congruences for multiple harmonic sums*, Integers **13** (2013), A23.

[35] Z. Zhang, J. Yang, *On sums of products of the degenerate Bernoulli numbers*, Integral Transforms and Special Functions **20** (2009), 751–755.

The Fields Institute, 222 College Street, Toronto, Ontario M5T 3J1, Canada
E-mail address: hessamik@gmail.com

The Fields Institute, 222 College Street, Toronto, Ontario M5T 3J1, Canada
E-mail address: hessamit@gmail.com

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy
E-mail address: tauraso@mat.uniroma2.it